

FILED

2017 JUL 27 PM 2:53

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES

BY:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

June 2017 Grand Jury

17 CR 00480

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR No. 17-

Plaintiff,

I N D I C T M E N T

v.

MINAS MATOSYAN,
aka "Mike,"
aka "Maserati Mike,"
ARMEN SIMONYAN,
aka "Richard Simonson,"
aka "Nick,"
GRISHA SAYADYAN,
aka "Grish,"
aka "George,"
SABRINA GUBERMAN,
aka "Susie,"
FREDERICK MANNING, JR.,
aka "Freddie,"
FRED MINASSIAN,
RALPH MANNING,
HAYK MATOSYAN,
aka "Hayko,"
GARY HENDERSON,
FNU LNU,
aka "Cindy,"
MARISA MONTENEGRO,
ELIZABETH GURUMDZHYAN, and
ANAIT GUYUMZHYAN,

[21 U.S.C. § 846: Conspiracy to
(1) Distribute and Possess with
Intent to Distribute Controlled
Substances, and (2) Acquire a
Controlled Substance by
Misrepresentation, Fraud,
Forgery, Deception, and
Subterfuge; 21 U.S.C.
§ 841(a)(1): Distribution of
and Possession with Intent to
Distribute Controlled
Substances; 18 U.S.C. § 371:
Conspiracy to (1) Falsify,
Conceal, and Cover Up a
Material Fact Within Federal
Jurisdiction, and (2) Engage in
Witness Tampering; 18 U.S.C.
§ 1001(a)(3): Making and Using
a False Writing Containing
False Statements Within Federal
Jurisdiction; 18 U.S.C.
§ 1001(a)(2): False Statement
to a Law Enforcement Officer;
18 U.S.C. § 2(a): Aiding and
Abetting; 21 U.S.C. § 853:
Criminal Forfeiture]

Defendants.

The Grand Jury charges:

1 COUNT ONE

2 [21 U.S.C. § 846]

3 A. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY

4 Beginning on a date unknown, and continuing to a date not
5 earlier than July 27, 2017, in Los Angeles County, within the
6 Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendants MINAS
7 MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike"
8 ("MATOSYAN"), ARMEN SIMONYAN, aka "Richard Simonson," aka "Nick"
9 ("SIMONYAN"), GRISHA SAYADYAN, aka "Grish," aka "George"
10 ("SAYADYAN"), SABRINA GUBERMAN, aka "Susie" ("GUBERMAN"),
11 FREDERICK MANNING JR., aka "Freddie" ("F. MANNING"), FRED
12 MINASSIAN ("MINASSIAN"), RALPH MANNING ("R. MANNING"), HAYK
13 MATOSYAN, aka "Hayko" ("H. MATOSYAN"), GARY HENDERSON
14 ("HENDERSON"), FIRST NAME UNKNOWN ("FNU") LAST NAME UNKNOWN
15 ("LNU"), aka "Cindy" ("CINDY"), MARISA MONTENEGRO
16 ("MONTENEGRO"), ELIZABETH GURUMDZHYAN ("E. GURUMDZHYAN"), and
17 ANAIT GUYUMZHYAN ("A. GUYUMZHYAN"), and others known and unknown
18 to the Grand Jury, conspired and agreed with each other to
19 knowingly and intentionally commit one or more of the following
20 offenses:

21 1. Distribution of oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug
22 controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States
23 Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

24 2. Possession with intent to distribute oxycodone, a
25 Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance, in violation of
26 Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

27

28

1 3. Distribution of hydrocodone, a Schedule II narcotic
2 drug controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United
3 States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

4 4. Possession with intent to distribute hydrocodone, a
5 Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance, in violation of
6 Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

7 5. Distribution of amphetamine salts, a Schedule II
8 controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States
9 Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

10 6. Possession with intent to distribute amphetamine
11 salts, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title
12 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C);

13 7. Distribution of alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled
14 substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
15 Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(2);

16 8. Possession with intent to distribute alprazolam, a
17 Schedule IV controlled substance, in violation of Title 21,
18 United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(2); and

19 9. Acquiring a controlled substance by misrepresentation,
20 fraud, forgery, deception, and subterfuge, in violation of Title
21 21, United States Code, Section 843(a)(3).

22 B. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY WERE TO BE
23 ACCOMPLISHED

24 The objects of the conspiracy were to be accomplished, in
25 substance, as follows:

26 1. Defendant MATOSYAN would oversee sham medical clinics
27 throughout the greater Los Angeles area, for the purpose of
28 profiting from the sale of thousands of illegitimate

1 prescriptions (the "fraudulent prescriptions") for controlled
2 substances, including, but not limited to, oxycodone,
3 hydrocodone, amphetamine salts, and alprazolam.

4 2. Defendants SIMONYAN, SAYADYAN, and GUBERMAN would
5 oversee the day-to-day management of the sham clinics.

6 3. Defendant MATOSYAN would retain corrupt doctors who,
7 in turn, would allow the conspirators to issue fraudulent
8 prescriptions in the corrupt doctors' names in exchange for
9 kickbacks.

10 4. Defendants MATOSYAN, SIMONYAN, GUBERMAN, and CINDY
11 would concoct lies to tell pharmacists in order to falsely
12 conceal that the conspirators had created and sold prescriptions
13 in the name of doctor E.S. ("E.S."), even though E.S. was
14 hospitalized or deceased at the time the fraudulent
15 prescriptions were issued.

16 5. Defendants MATOSYAN, SIMOYAN, GUBERMAN, R. MANNING,
17 and H. MATOSYAN would steal the identity of doctor L.G.W.
18 ("L.G.W."), namely, by creating, selling, and filling fraudulent
19 prescriptions and related medical paperwork purportedly written
20 by L.G.W., without L.G.W.'s knowledge or authorization.

21 6. Defendants MATOSYAN and R. MANNING would instruct
22 black market customers to provide them with the names and dates
23 of birth that the customers wanted to be included as "patient"
24 information on the fraudulent prescriptions.

25 7. Defendants MATOSYAN, SIMONYAN, SAYADYAN, and GUBERMAN,
26 and others acting at their direction, would provide false
27 information to pharmacists, in response to inquiries from
28

1 pharmacists seeking to verify fraudulent prescriptions prior to
2 filling them.

3 8. Defendants MATOSYAN, R. MANNING, H. MATOSYAN, and
4 HENDERSON would sell bulk quantities of oxycodone, hydrocodone,
5 and alprazolam pills to black market customers, including to
6 defendant F. MANNING.

7 9. Defendants HENDERSON, MONTENEGRO, E. GURUMDZHYAN, and
8 A. GUYUMZHYAN would fill fraudulent prescriptions for oxycodone
9 and other narcotics that they had acquired from defendants
10 MATOSYAN, SAYADYAN, and SIMONYAN for the purpose of acquiring
11 bulk quantities of oxycodone to sell on the black market.

12 10. Defendants MATOSYAN, F. MANNING, GUBERMAN, MINASSIAN,
13 and CINDY would fraudulently cover up a law enforcement seizure
14 of hydrocodone pills ("the seized hydrocodone") by arranging to
15 falsely inform law enforcement that the seized hydrocodone had
16 been legitimately prescribed either to defendant F. MANNING or
17 to another person.

18 11. Defendants MATOSYAN, F. MANNING, MINASSIAN, GUBERMAN,
19 and CINDY would attempt to persuade doctor N.D. ("N.D.") to
20 provide false information to law enforcement regarding the
21 seized hydrocodone.

22 12. Defendants MATOSYAN, F. MANNING, GUBERMAN, MINASSIAN,
23 and CINDY would arrange for a fraudulent medical letter to be
24 sent to law enforcement, which falsely represented that N.D. had
25 legitimately prescribed the seized hydrocodone to defendant F.
26 MANNING during a May 2016 medical visit.

27
28

1 C. OVERT ACTS

2 In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the
3 objects of the conspiracy, on or about the following dates,
4 defendants MATOSYAN, SIMONYAN, SAYADYAN, GUBERMAN, F. MANNING,
5 MINASSIAN, R. MANNING, H. MATOSYAN, HENDERSON, CINDY,
6 MONTENEGRO, E. GURUMDZHYAN, and A. GUYUMZHYAN, and others known
7 and unknown to the Grand Jury, committed various overt acts
8 within the Central District of California, and elsewhere,
9 including, but not limited to, the following:

10 January-February 2016 Sales of Oxycodone Prescriptions to CS-1

11 1. On January 21, 2016, using coded language in a
12 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN spoke with a person
13 believed to be a black market customer, but who was actually a
14 confidential source working with law enforcement ("CS-1"), and
15 agreed to speak with CS-1 on a later date to coordinate the sale
16 of fraudulent oxycodone prescriptions to CS-1.

17 2. On January 26, 2016, using coded language in a
18 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to sell CS-1 a
19 fraudulent prescription for oxycodone at the price of \$200, and
20 instructed CS-1 to contact defendant R. MANNING to provide
21 information necessary to complete the prescription, including
22 the type of drug being prescribed and the name and date of birth
23 of the "patient" to be named on the prescription.

24 3. On January 27, 2016, using coded language in two
25 telephone conversations and a text message, defendant MATOSYAN
26 provided CS-1 with defendant R. MANNING's phone number and
27 reiterated that CS-1 should provide defendant R. MANNING with
28 the information necessary to complete the prescription.

1 4. On January 27, 2016, using coded language in a
2 telephone conversation, defendant R. MANNING confirmed to CS-1
3 that he (defendant R. MANNING) would complete the sale of the
4 fraudulent prescription on defendant MATOSYAN's behalf, and
5 instructed CS-1 to send him via text message the "patient" name,
6 "patient" date of birth, and drug types that CS-1 wanted to be
7 written on the fraudulent prescription.

8 5. On January 27, 2016, defendant R. MANNING received a
9 coded text message from CS-1 that included a "patient" name and
10 date of birth belonging to a false alias, and that also included
11 instructions for the fraudulent prescription to include 150
12 pills of 30-mg oxycodone.

13 6. On January 27, 2016, at a parking lot in Los Angeles,
14 California, defendant R. MANNING delivered to CS-1 a
15 prescription for 150 pills of 30-mg oxycodone issued under the
16 name of doctor R.G. ("R.G.") to a "patient" with the name and
17 date of birth provided by CS-1, and accepted in return payment
18 of \$200 cash.

19 7. On February 4, 2016, using coded language in a
20 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to sell three
21 new fraudulent oxycodone prescriptions to CS-1, and defendant
22 MATOSYAN instructed CS-1 to contact defendant R. MANNING to
23 arrange the transaction, including for CS-1 to again provide
24 defendant R. MANNING with the names, dates of birth, and drug
25 types and quantities for the fraudulent prescriptions.

26 8. On February 4, 2016, defendant R. MANNING received a
27 coded text message from CS-1 that included three different
28 "patient" names and dates of birth for the fraudulent

1 prescriptions to be sold to CS-1 during the upcoming
2 transaction.

3 9. On February 5, 2016, defendant R. MANNING received a
4 coded text message from CS-1 requesting that a new "patient"
5 name be included on one of the fraudulent prescriptions.

6 10. On February 5, 2016, using coded language in a text
7 message, defendant R. MANNING confirmed for CS-1 that he would
8 change the name of the "patient" on one of the prescriptions as
9 requested by CS-1.

10 11. On February 5, 2016, in a parking lot in Los Angeles,
11 California, defendant R. MANNING delivered to CS-1 three
12 prescriptions, each for 150 pills of 30 mg oxycodone, issued
13 under the name of R.G. to "patients" with the names and dates of
14 birth provided by CS-1, and in return accepted payment of \$600
15 cash.

16 12. On February 24, 2016, using coded language in a
17 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to sell CS-1
18 three new oxycodone prescriptions, and instructed CS-1 to
19 provide defendant R. MANNING with the information necessary to
20 complete the fraudulent prescriptions.

21 13. On February 24, 2016, using coded language in a
22 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed CS-1 that he
23 would be able to sell prescriptions to CS-1 under a new doctor's
24 name when his new clinic opened.

25 14. On February 24, 2016, using coded language in a
26 telephone conversation, defendant R. MANNING instructed CS-1 to
27 send him the names and dates of birth to include on the
28 fraudulent prescriptions he was preparing for CS-1, after which

1 CS-1 texted three different "patient" names and dates of birth
2 to defendant R. MANNING.

3 15. On February 24, 2016, at a convenience store parking
4 lot in Los Angeles, California, defendant R. MANNING delivered
5 to CS-1 three prescriptions, each for 150 pills of 30 mg
6 oxycodone, issued under the name of R.G. to "patients" with the
7 names and dates of birth provided by CS-1, and in return
8 accepted payment of \$600.

9 Prescriptions Issued in the Name of Deceased Doctor E.S.

10 April 27, 2016 Conversation between MATOSYAN and CINDY

11 16. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
12 conversation, defendant CINDY informed defendant MATOSYAN that,
13 according to an unidentified female conspirator ("UF-1"),
14 pharmacists had called one of their medical clinics to verify
15 prescriptions issued in the name of E.S., which posed a problem
16 because E.S. was dead on the date when the fraudulent
17 prescriptions had been issued under E.S.'s name.

18 17. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
19 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN instructed defendant CINDY to
20 warn UF-1 that if any pharmacists learned that prescriptions had
21 been issued in the name of E.S. while he was hospitalized or
22 after his death, "the first thing that they're going to do is
23 call and tell the police that she billed under a doctor that was
24 in the hospital and wrote prescriptions for a doctor in the
25 hospital, so the first person who is going to be going to jail
26 for life is her."

27 18. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a
28 telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant

1 CINDY that, when pharmacists call UF-1 to verify a prescription
2 issued under E.S.'s name, UF-1 must falsely respond that E.S. is
3 working at another location and therefore was unavailable to
4 speak with the pharmacist.

5 19. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
6 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant CINDY about
7 a conversation that defendant MATOSYAN previously had with UF-
8 1's husband, during which defendant MATOSYAN reminded UF-1's
9 husband that he (defendant MATOSYAN) had provided to UF-1's
10 husband a corrupt doctor, S.K., to use as part of a corrupt
11 medical practice, that S.K. was "worth \$120,000" in criminal
12 proceeds to UF-1's husband, and that UF-1's husband needed to
13 "pay me (defendant MATOSYAN) my fucking money right now" as
14 compensation for defendant MATOSYAN providing S.K. to UF-1's
15 husband.

16 20. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
17 conversation, defendant CINDY informed defendant MATOSYAN that
18 she had also spoken to UF-1 and that UF-1 said that defendant
19 MATOSYAN could take back S.K., to which defendant MATOSYAN
20 responded, "I don't give a fuck. Doctors are like underwear to
21 me: I don't take back used things. You understand? This isn't
22 the way the world works."

23 21. On April 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
24 conversation, at defendant MATOSYAN's request, defendant CINDY
25 agreed to create fraudulent patient records under E.S.'s name.

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

May 12, 2016 Sale of Oxycodone Prescriptions to CS-1

22. On May 12, 2016, using coded language in a telephone conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to sell additional fraudulent prescriptions to CS-1.

23. On May 12, 2016, in a grocery store parking lot in Encino, California, defendant MATOSYAN delivered to CS-1 two blank prescriptions under the name of E.S. and two blank prescriptions under the name of R.G., in exchange for which defendant MATOSYAN received \$400 cash from CS-1.

24. On May 12, 2016, in a grocery store parking lot in Encino, California, defendant MATOSYAN instructed CS-1 to contact defendant R. MANNING to purchase two additional prescriptions.

25. On May 12, 2016, in a grocery store parking lot in Encino, California, defendant MATOSYAN invited CS-1 to bring recruited patients to defendant MATOSYAN's new clinic and to work at the clinic in exchange for payment in the form of both money and fraudulent prescriptions.

26. On May 12, 2016, using coded language in a telephone conversation, defendant R. MANNING agreed to meet with CS-1 later that day and to deliver additional fraudulent prescriptions to CS-1.

27. On May 12, 2016, in a parking lot in Los Angeles, California, defendant R. MANNING delivered to CS-1 two prescriptions, each for 120 pills of 30 mg oxycodone, issued under the name of E.S. to "patients" in names and dates of birth previously provided to defendant R. MANNING by CS-1.

1 28. On May 12, 2016, in a parking lot in Los Angeles,
2 California, defendant R. MANNING, after being informed by CS-1
3 that the prescriptions that defendant R. MANNING just delivered
4 to CS-1 were unsigned, retrieved a pen and forged E.S.'s
5 signature on both prescriptions.

Additional Intercepted Communications about E.S.

7 29. On April 28, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
8 conversation, after being told by an unidentified customer that
9 some pharmacies had refused to fill prescriptions because E.S.
10 was deceased on the date the prescriptions purportedly were
11 issued by him, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to deliver a new set of
12 fraudulent prescriptions to the customer later that day.

13 30. On May 4, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
14 conversation, defendant SIMONYAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
15 that some of their employees became frightened on learning that
16 prescriptions had been issued in the name of a deceased doctor.

17 31. On May 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
18 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN warned defendant MATOSYAN that
19 a Costco pharmacy repeatedly had called one of their medical
20 clinics to inquire about E.S. prescriptions that a customer was
21 attempting to fill, and defendant GUBERMAN warned defendant
22 MATOSYAN to instruct the customer not to bring other E.S.
23 prescriptions to the Costco pharmacy or the customer likely
24 would be arrested.

25 32. On May 19, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
26 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN told an unindicted co-
27 conspirator that he (defendant MATOSYAN) planned on opening a
28 new medical office, that the new office would issue

1 prescriptions in the name of E.S. even though E.S. was deceased,
2 and that defendant MATOSYAN had employees at the office who
3 would fraudulently verify the prescriptions in response to
4 inquiries by pharmacists.

5 Identity Theft of L.G.W.

6 Defendant MATOSYAN Attempts to Recruit L.G.W and Arranges to
7 Produce Prescription Pads in L.G.W.'s Name

8 33. On May 16, 2016, using coded language in two telephone
9 conversations, defendant MATOSYAN and an unidentified
10 conspirator discussed defendant MATOSYAN's plan to recruit
11 L.G.W. to work at one of defendant MATOSYAN's medical offices,
12 and defendant MATOSYAN asked the conspirator to provide him
13 (defendant MATOSYAN) with L.G.W.'s personal information
14 including his medical license number, telephone number, and
15 national provider identifier ("NPI") number.

16 34. On May 19, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
17 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN offered L.G.W. a "very
18 lucrative" position working for defendant MATOSYAN in which
19 L.G.W. would "sit home making \$20,000 a month doing nothing,"
20 which L.G.W. declined to accept.

21 35. On May 24, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
22 conversation and text message, defendant MATOSYAN sent L.G.W.'s
23 personal information, including his full name, medical license
24 number, and NPI number, to an unidentified conspirator, and
25 defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that the conspirator would obtain a
26 prescription pad in L.G.W.'s name at a printing shop in
27 Hollywood, California.

28

1 Defendant MATOSYAN Sells an L.G.W. Oxycodone Prescription

2 to UM-1 and Arranges to Deceive a Pharmacist

3 36. On June 8, 2016, using coded language in a series of
4 telephone conversations and text message, defendant MATOSYAN
5 arranged to deliver to an unidentified male customer ("UM-1") an
6 L.G.W. prescription for 150 pills of oxycodone and 90 pills of
7 alprazolam, and UM-1 provided defendant MATOSYAN with the
8 "patient" name and date of birth to include on the prescription.

9 37. On June 8, 2016, in Encino, California, defendant
10 MATOSYAN delivered to UM-1 an L.G.W. prescription for oxycodone
11 and hydrocodone.

12 38. On June 8, 2016, using coded language in two telephone
13 conversations, defendant MATOSYAN, after being advised by UM-1
14 that the L.G.W. prescription that defendant MATOSYAN just
15 delivered included hydrocodone rather than alprazolam, agreed to
16 meet with UM-1 to deliver a corrected prescription.

17 39. On June 9, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
18 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN spoke with UM-1 about how a
19 pharmacist was going to contact defendant MATOSYAN's office to
20 verify the L.G.W. prescription, and that the pharmacist did not
21 need to speak with L.G.W. but would need to obtain medical
22 paperwork in support of the prescription.

23 40. On June 10, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
24 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
25 that defendant MATOSYAN needed to sign fraudulent medical
26 paperwork in the name of patient T.B. (the "patient" name on the
27 fraudulent prescription that defendant MATOSYAN delivered to UM-
28

1 1 two days earlier), and defendant MATOSYAN agreed to meet
2 defendant GUBERMAN at a medical office to do so.

3 Defendant MATOSYAN Sells L.G.W. Oxycodone Prescriptions to UF-2

4 41. On June 8, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
5 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to sell prescriptions at
6 a cost of \$200 each to an unidentified female customer ("UF-2")
7 who called on behalf of defendant F. MANNING seeking to purchase
8 four to five fraudulent prescriptions.

9 42. On June 8, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
10 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to send UF-2 the name
11 and federal controlled drug registration number of the doctor
12 who would be named on the fraudulent prescriptions, so that UF-2
13 could attempt to verify whether the doctor was the subject of
14 any investigation by law enforcement.

15 43. On June 8, 2016, defendant MATOSYAN sent to UF-2 a
16 text message that included L.G.W.'s name, NPI number, medical
17 license number, and federal controlled drug registration number.

18 44. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
19 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to meet UF-2 later that
20 day to complete the oxycodone transaction and further advised
21 UF-2 to avoid filling the fraudulent prescriptions at a major
22 chain pharmacy such as a Walgreens pharmacy, which defendant
23 MATOSYAN said were more likely to want to contact the
24 prescribing physician to verify the fraudulent prescriptions.

25 45. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a series of
26 text messages, UF-2, acting at defendant MATOSYAN's direction,
27 provided defendant MATOSYAN with three different "patient" names

28

1 and dates of birth to use in writing the fraudulent
2 prescriptions to be sold to UF-2 later that day.

3 46. On June 17, 2016, defendant MATOSYAN delivered three
4 prescriptions, each for 150 pills of 30-mg oxycodone, issued
5 under L.G.W.'s name to the "patient" names and dates of birth
6 previously provided by UF-2.

7 Defendant MATOSYAN Delivers L.G.W. Oxycodone Prescriptions to
8 Defendant HENDERSON

9 47. On June 23, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
10 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to deliver fraudulent
11 oxycodone prescriptions to defendant HENDERSON later that day.

12 48. On June 23, 2016, using coded language in a series of
13 text messages, defendant HENDERSON sent four different "patient"
14 names and dates of birth to use in writing the fraudulent
15 oxycodone prescriptions, and defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that
16 he (defendant MATOSYAN) would deliver the fraudulent
17 prescriptions to defendant HENDERSON within the next hour.

18 49. On June 23, 2016, using coded language in two
19 telephone conversations, defendants MATOSYAN and HENDERSON
20 discussed how fraudulent prescriptions should be written for
21 oxycodone at 30-mg strength and the phone number that should be
22 provided to pharmacists who wanted to verify a fraudulent
23 prescription.

24 50. On June 23, 2016, at a parking lot in Encino,
25 California, defendant MATOSYAN delivered four fraudulent
26 prescriptions, each for 150 pills of 30-mg oxycodone, to
27 defendant HENDERSON, with each issued under L.G.W.'s name and
28

1 for "patients" with the names and dates of birth previously
2 provided by defendant HENDERSON

3 51. On June 23, 2016, using coded language in two
4 telephone conversations, defendant HENDERSON informed defendant
5 MATOSYAN that law enforcement conducted a traffic stop of
6 defendant HENDERSON's car and seized the four oxycodone
7 prescriptions that defendant MATOSYAN had just delivered to
8 defendant HENDERSON.

9 Defendants MATOSYAN, R. MANNING, and H. MATOSYAN Fill a
10 Fraudulent L.G.W. Prescription and Deliver Oxycodone Pills

11 52. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a series of
12 telephone conversations, defendant H. MATOSYAN agreed to assist
13 defendant R. MANNING in filling a prescription issued under
14 L.G.W.'s name at a pharmacy in Encino, California, and defendant
15 MATOSYAN agreed to give defendant H. MATOSYAN the fraudulent
16 prescription to be filled at the pharmacy and cash to pay for
17 the cost of filling the prescription.

18 53. On June 17, 2016, at a pharmacy in Encino, California,
19 defendants R. MANNING and H. MATOSYAN attempted to fill a
20 fraudulent prescription issued under L.G.W.'s name for
21 oxycodone, hydrocodone, and alprazolam.

22 54. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
23 conversation, defendant H. MATOSYAN told defendant MATOSYAN that
24 the pharmacy had only 20 pills of oxycodone available, and thus
25 could only partially fill the fraudulent prescription that
defendants R. MANNING and H. MATOSYAN had presented.

27 55. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
28 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN arranged for an unidentified

1 male drug customer ("UM-2") to meet defendant H. MATOSYAN later
2 that day, so that defendant H. MATOSYAN would deliver 20 pills
3 of oxycodone to UM-2.

4 56. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a series of
5 telephone conversations, defendant H. MATOSYAN agreed to deliver
6 20 pills of oxycodone to UM-2 later that day at a restaurant in
7 Sherman Oaks, California.

8 57. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
9 conversation, defendant H. MATOSYAN confirmed to defendant
10 MATOSYAN that he delivered 20 pills of oxycodone to UM-2.

11 58. On June 20, 2016, using coded language in a series of
12 telephone conversations, defendant H. MATOSYAN confirmed that he
13 and defendant R. MANNING would pick up 130 pills of oxycodone
14 from the pharmacy in Encino, California, which represented the
15 unfulfilled portion of the L.G.W. prescription that defendants H.
16 MATOSYAN and R. MANNING had attempted to fill at the pharmacy
17 three days earlier.

18 59. On June 20, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
19 conversation, defendant R. MANNING agreed that he, defendant H.
20 MATOSYAN, and an unidentified co-conspirator would pick up the
21 remaining 130 pills of oxycodone and deliver them to UM-2, and
22 defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that UM-2 would have money on hand
23 to complete the transaction.

24 60. On June 20, 2016, using coded language in two
25 telephone conversations, defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that UM-2
26 would meet with defendant H. MATOSYAN later that day to purchase
27 130 pills of oxycodone, and defendant MATOSYAN told UM-2 to
28

1 provide defendant H. MATOSYAN with names to include as
2 "patients" for future fraudulent prescriptions.

3 61. On June 20, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
4 conversation, defendant H. MATOSYAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
5 that the pharmacy had not yet received an expected wholesale
6 shipment of oxycodone pills and that a pharmacy employee would
7 notify defendant H. MATOSYAN when the pharmacy had the remaining
8 130 pills of oxycodone in stock.

9 62. On June 27, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
10 conversation, defendant R. MANNING told defendant MATOSYAN that
11 he (defendant R. MANNING) was aware of people who could purchase
12 L.G.W. controlled drug prescriptions, and defendant MATOSYAN
13 agreed to sell the prescriptions but stressed the need for
14 defendant R. MANNING to instruct the potential customers to take
15 the prescriptions to pharmacies that would fill the L.G.W.
16 prescriptions without verifying them.

17 Defendants R. MANNING and SIMONYAN fill L.G.W. Prescriptions

18 63. On June 27, 2016, defendant SIMONYAN, using the alias
19 "Richard Simonson," filled a prescription issued under L.G.W.'s
20 name for oxycodone.

21 64. On July 20, 2016, defendant R. MANNING filled
22 prescriptions issued under L.G.W.'s name for oxycodone,
23 hydrocodone, and alprazolam.

24 65. On July 27, 2016, defendant SIMONYAN, using the alias
25 "Richard Simonson," filled a prescription issued under L.G.W.'s
26 name for oxycodone.

27
28

1 66. On August 25, 2016, defendant R. MANNING filled
2 prescriptions issued under L.G.W.'s name for oxycodone,
3 hydrocodone, and alprazolam.

4 67. On September 20, 2016, defendant R. MANNING filled
5 prescriptions issued under L.G.W.'s name for oxycodone,
6 hydrocodone, and alprazolam.

7 68. On October 19, 2016, defendant R. MANNING filled
8 prescriptions issued under L.G.W.'s name for oxycodone,
9 hydrocodone, and alprazolam.

10 Seizure of Hydrocodone from Defendant F. MANNING and
11 Conspiracy to Provide Fraudulent Records to Law Enforcement

12 69. On May 18, 2016, using coded language in a series of
13 telephone conversations, defendants MATOSYAN and HENDERSON
14 arranged to meet in Encino, California to deliver 500 pills of
15 oxycodone and hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING.

16 70. On May 18, 2016, using coded language in two telephone
17 conversations, defendant MATOSYAN arranged to deliver 500 pills
18 of oxycodone and hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING in exchange
19 for \$1,600, and defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that defendant
20 HENDERSON could sell 1,000 pills per week of narcotics to
21 defendant F. MANNING in future transactions.

22 71. On May 18, 2016, in Encino, California, defendants
23 MATOSYAN and HENDERSON delivered 500 pills of oxycodone and
24 hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING.

25 72. On May 18, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
26 conversation, defendant F. MANNING reported to defendant
27 MATOSYAN that a law enforcement officer had pulled over
28 defendant F. MANNING's car for a traffic violation, and had

1 seized 140 pills of hydrocodone ("the seized hydrocodone"), but
2 that the officer did not find the additional oxycodone pills
3 that defendants MATOSYAN and HENDERSON had also just delivered
4 to defendant F. MANNING.

5 73. On May 18, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
6 conversation, defendant F. MANNING told defendant MATOSYAN that
7 defendant F. MANNING had falsely told the officer conducting the
8 traffic stop that the seized hydrocodone had been legitimately
9 prescribed to defendant F. MANNING by R.G.

10 74. On May 18, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
11 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN assured defendant F. MANNING
12 that defendant MATOSYAN would be able to fraudulently cover up
13 the drug seizure by obtaining a letter from a doctor purporting
14 that the doctor had legitimately prescribed the seized
15 hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING because, for \$200, the
16 doctor would "do whatever the hell we want him to."

17 75. On June 10, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
18 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN told defendant CINDY that
19 defendants MATOSYAN, F. MANNING, and MINASSIAN had met and
20 created a plan to fraudulently cover up the seizure of the
21 hydrocodone pills.

22 76. On June 10, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
23 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant CINDY that
24 defendants MATOSYAN, F. MANNING, and MINASSIAN agreed that an
25 unidentified female "patient" ("UF-3") would falsely claim to
26 law enforcement that the seized hydrocodone had been
27 legitimately prescribed to her, and that UF-3 would falsely

1 claim that she had accidentally left the seized hydrocodone in
2 defendant F. MANNING's car.

3 77. On June 10, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
4 conversation, defendant CINDY asked whether all the conspirators
5 involved in the cover-up would stand by the false story during
6 an interview with law enforcement or during court testimony, and
7 defendant MATOSYAN responded that he (defendant MATOSYAN)
8 planned to pay \$700 to UF-3, \$500 to the doctor, and \$200 to the
9 doctor's receptionist to compensate them for falsely "verifying"
10 the story, for generating fraudulent supporting medical
11 documentation, and for placing an entry in the medical office's
12 patient logs falsely reflecting that UF-3 had previously visited
13 the office.

14 78. On June 10, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
15 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant CINDY that,
16 according to defendant MINASSIAN, law enforcement would not
17 attempt to conduct follow-up investigation upon receiving a
18 doctor's letter fraudulently claiming to have legitimately
19 prescribed the seized hydrocodone to UF-3.

20 79. On June 21, 2016, defendant F. MANNING spoke with a
21 law enforcement officer, during which defendant F. MANNING
22 stated that defendant F. MANNING's attorney (defendant
23 MINASSIAN) would be providing the law enforcement officer with a
24 doctor's letter regarding the seized hydrocodone.

25 80. On June 21, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
26 conversation, defendant F. MANNING informed defendant MATOSYAN
27 that a law enforcement officer had just contacted him to obtain
28 a copy of medical paperwork regarding the seized hydrocodone,

1 and defendant MATOSYAN agreed to have the fraudulent medical
2 records ready by the end of the week.

3 81. On June 21, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
4 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to meet with defendants
5 F. MANNING and MINASSIAN to discuss how to respond to law
6 enforcement regarding the seized hydrocodone, and defendant F.
7 MANNING stated that defendant MINASSIAN no longer believed that
8 defendant MATOSYAN's plan (claiming that the seized hydrocodone
9 had been prescribed to UF-3) would persuade law enforcement.

10 82. On June 22, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
11 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN instructed defendant F. MANNING
12 to have defendant CINDY bring a magnetic resonance imaging
13 ("MRI") report to defendant MATOSYAN, and that defendant
14 MATOSYAN would use the MRI report to generate a fraudulent
15 medical record to send to law enforcement regarding the seized
16 hydrocodone.

17 83. On June 29, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
18 conversation, defendant MINASSIAN instructed defendant MATOSYAN
19 to falsely state, in a fraudulent medical letter that defendant
20 MATOSYAN would create, that the seized hydrocodone had been
21 prescribed to defendant F. MANNING by a doctor to treat back
22 pain during a medical examination on May 10, 2016.

23 84. On July 1, 2016, defendant MINASSIAN sent a fax to law
24 enforcement that included a fraudulent medical letter under
25 N.D.'s name, which falsely claimed that N.D. prescribed 150
26 pills of hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING during a medical
27 examination on May 10, 2016.

28 ///

1 85. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
2 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
3 that a law enforcement officer had contacted N.D. directly,
4 during which N.D. told the law enforcement officer that N.D. had
5 never seen defendant F. MANNING as a patient and did not
6 prescribe hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING, and that
7 defendant SIMONYAN was angry as a result of the law enforcement
8 officer's unexpected call to N.D.

9 86. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
10 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
11 that it was too late to attempt to persuade N.D. to contact the
12 law enforcement officer to falsely "verify" that N.D. had
13 prescribed the seized hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING, and
14 suggested that she (defendant GUBERMAN) would find a different
15 doctor who would falsely verify prescribing hydrocodone to
16 defendant F. MANNING and would provide fraudulent supporting
17 medical paperwork.

18 87. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
19 conversation, defendants MATOSYAN and GUBERMAN discussed whether
20 N.D. would accept a bribe of \$2,000 to retract his statement to
21 law enforcement and to falsely confirm that N.D. had prescribed
22 the seized hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING.

23 88. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
24 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant CINDY that
25 "the problem is fixable" and that defendant MATOSYAN would visit
26 N.D. the following morning to persuade N.D. to falsely retract
27 his prior statement to law enforcement.

28

1 89. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a series of
2 telephone conversations, defendant GUBERMAN informed defendant
3 MATOSYAN that an unidentified female ("UF-4") worked at a
4 doctor's office, and that UF-4 could help defendant MATOSYAN in
5 creating fraudulent paperwork regarding the seized hydrocodone
6 in exchange for \$3,000.

7 90. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
8 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant SIMONYAN
9 that upcoming sales of fraudulent prescriptions needed to be
10 postponed because of the law enforcement investigation into the
11 seized hydrocodone, and that it would cost \$3,500 to complete
12 the fraudulent cover-up of the hydrocodone seizure, including
13 \$500 that would be paid to defendant GUBERMAN; defendant
14 SIMONYAN responded that defendant F. MANNING was wealthy and
15 should be required to reimburse the \$3,500.

16 91. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
17 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant MINASSIAN
18 that a law enforcement officer called N.D. and that N.D. denied
19 prescribing the seized hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING, at
20 which time defendant MATOSYAN chastised defendant MINASSIAN for
21 rejecting his original plan of claiming that the seized
22 hydrocodone had been prescribed to UF-3.

23 92. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
24 conversation, defendant MINASSIAN stated that he (defendant
25 MINASSIAN) assumed that N.D. was "on board" when defendant
26 MINASSIAN agreed to send the fraudulent letter under N.D.'s name
27 to law enforcement, to which defendant MATOSYAN responded that
28 N.D. was "not on board;" defendant MINASSIAN stated that he

1 (defendant MINASSIAN) would attempt to come up with an
2 alternative plan and would contact defendant MATOSYAN later.

3 93. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
4 conversation, defendants MATOSYAN and F. MANNING discussed how
5 defendants F. MANNING and MINASSIAN had rejected defendant
6 MATOSYAN's original plan to use UF-3 to cover up the seized
7 hydrocodone, how defendant MINASSIAN had devised the alternative
8 plan of falsely claiming that the seized hydrocodone had been
9 prescribed to defendant F. MANNING rather than to UF-3, and how
10 defendant F. MANNING had miscalculated when he promised that law
11 enforcement would not attempt to verify the fraudulent medical
12 documentation, and defendant F. MANNING stated that he
13 (defendant F. MANNING) would be "locked up" as a result of
14 defendant MATOSYAN's failure to stop the law enforcement
15 investigation.

16 94. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
17 conversation, defendants MATOSYAN and CINDY discussed whether
18 they could attempt to bribe the law enforcement officers
19 investigating the hydrocodone seizure to stop the investigation
20 from continuing.

21 95. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
22 conversation, defendant MINASSIAN told defendant MATOSYAN that
23 he (defendant MINASSIAN) had asked defendant F. MANNING to
24 provide "a letter from a doctor, any doctor" falsely purporting
25 to verify the prescription, and the two then agreed that
26 defendant MATOSYAN would attempt to bribe N.D. to fraudulently
27 claim that N.D. had prescribed the seized hydrocodone to
28 defendant F. MANNING.

1 96. On July 6, 2016, defendant MINASSIAN spoke with a law
2 enforcement officer, during which defendant MINASSIAN falsely
3 claimed that he was not aware that N.D. had denied writing the
4 letter that defendant MINASSIAN had sent to law enforcement on
5 July 1, 2016, that defendant MINASSIAN had been told by
6 defendant F. MANNING that the seized hydrocodone was
7 legitimately prescribed to defendant F. MANNING by N.D., and
8 that defendant MINASSIAN believed that the letter was genuine
9 when defendant MINASSIAN sent it to law enforcement.

10 97. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
11 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN informed defendant F. MANNING
12 that he (defendant MATOSYAN) and defendant CINDY would try to
13 convince N.D. to falsely tell law enforcement that N.D.
14 prescribed the seized hydrocodone to defendant F. MANNING.

15 98. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in two telephone
16 conversations and a text message, defendant MATOSYAN arranged
17 for UF-4 to generate fraudulent medical records reflecting that
18 an unidentified doctor prescribed the seized hydrocodone to
19 defendant F. MANNING.

20 99. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
21 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN confirmed for defendant
22 MATOSYAN that UF-4 would be able to generate fraudulent medical
23 paperwork regarding the seized hydrocodone.

24 100. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
25 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN told defendant CINDY that he
26 planned to continue trying to convince N.D. to inform law
27 enforcement that N.D. had prescribed the seized hydrocodone to
28 defendant F. MANNING, and that he had developed a back-up plan

1 in the event that N.D. did not agree to do so, at which time
2 defendant CINDY offered to join defendant MATOSYAN in persuading
3 N.D. to lie to law enforcement about the seized hydrocodone.

4 101. On July 7, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
5 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN assured defendant F. MANNING
6 that he (defendant MATOSYAN) had spoken to N.D. three times
7 earlier that day and that defendant MATOSYAN was intent on
8 convincing N.D. to lie to law enforcement about the seized
9 hydrocodone.

10 102. On August 26, 2016, defendant MINASSIAN falsely
11 assured a law enforcement officer that defendant F. MANNING was
12 being honest in claiming that the seized hydrocodone had been
13 legitimately prescribed to defendant F. MANNING by a doctor, and
14 further falsely claimed that N.D. was confused in previously
15 denying that he (N.D.) prescribed the seized hydrocodone to
16 defendant F. MANNING.

17 Additional Overt Acts

18 Defendant MATOSYAN

19 103. On May 3, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
20 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that an unidentified
21 female conspirator ("UF-5") would provide him with an
22 unspecified number of 30-mg oxycodone pills at a price of \$15
23 per pill.

24 104. On June 22, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
25 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN confirmed that an unindicted
26 co-conspirator was at a print shop purchasing 100 prescription
27 pads under R.G.'s name, and defendant MATOSYAN provided the
28 serial numbers to be printed on the prescriptions.

1 105. On June 22, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
2 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN agreed to supply a fraudulent
3 prescription for 120 pills of amphetamine salts for an
4 unidentified male customer ("UM-3"), and further instructed UM-3
5 to make sure that the "patient" named on the prescription was
6 young to help ensure that a pharmacy would fill the
7 prescription.

8 106. On June 29, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
9 conversation, defendant MATOSYAN contacted defendant F. MANNING
10 to broker a drug transaction, in which defendant F. MANNING
11 would purchase 1,000 pills of 30-mg oxycodone and 1,000 pills of
12 10-mg hydrocodone from an unidentified co-conspirator, and which
13 defendant F. MANNING agreed to carry out.

Defendant SIMONYAN

15 107. On June 13, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
16 conversation, defendants MATOSYAN and SIMONYAN discussed how
17 defendant MATOSYAN was arranging to hire a new doctor, who would
18 issue fraudulent prescriptions to 20 patients per day, two to
19 three times per week, at a price of \$300 per prescription, and
20 defendants MATOSYAN and SIMONYAN agreed that they could place
21 the doctor's actual information on the header of prescriptions
22 because the doctor was aware that the prescriptions were
23 fraudulent and would "verify" these prescriptions to any
24 pharmacist who inquired about their authenticity.

25 108. On June 29, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
26 conversation, defendant SIMONYAN, after being told by defendant
27 MATOSYAN that the female receptionists working under defendant
28 SIMONYAN's authority needed to do a better job in fraudulently

1 verifying prescriptions in response to inquiries from
2 pharmacists, responded that defendant MATOSYAN should provide
3 him with more capable employees.

4 109. On June 29, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
5 conversation, defendants MATOSYAN and SIMONYAN discussed how
6 several of defendant SIMONYAN's customers were under
7 investigation by law enforcement, with defendant MATOSYAN
8 agreeing to obtain for defendant SIMONYAN a list of the names of
9 the customers who were being investigated.

10 110. On July 1, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
11 conversation, defendant SIMONYAN informed defendant MATOSYAN
12 that he (defendant SIMONYAN) was going to a printing shop to
13 obtain new prescription pads, and defendant MATOSYAN agreed that
14 defendant SIMONYAN should do so because defendant MATOSYAN was
15 about to sell his remaining prescriptions.

16 Defendant SAYADYAN

17 111. On October 14, 2016, using coded language in a series
18 of text messages, defendant SAYADYAN agreed to sell 100 pills of
19 oxycodone to an unidentified female customer ("UF-6") in
20 exchange for \$15 per pill.

21 112. On October 14, 2016, using coded language in a text
22 message, defendant SAYADYAN agreed to deliver 100 pills of
23 oxycodone to UF-6 later that day in Van Nuys, California.

24 113. On October 25, 2016, using coded language in a series
25 of text messages, defendants SAYADYAN and UF-6 discussed a
future transaction involving 100 pills of 30-mg oxycodone.

27 114. On November 11, 2016, using coded language in a series
28 of text messages, defendant SAYADYAN arranged to meet with UF-6,

1 so that UF-6 could deliver the \$600 owed to defendant SAYADYAN
2 from a prior drug transaction.

3 115. On December 15, 2016, using coded language in a series
4 of text messages, defendant SAYADYAN arranged to sell 400 pills
5 of 30-mg oxycodone to UF-6 at the price of \$15 per pill.

6 116. On January 2, 2017, using coded language in a text
7 message, defendant SAYADYAN agreed to sell 90 pills of 30-mg
8 oxycodone to UF-6 later that day in Burbank, California.

9 117. On January 10, 2017, using coded language in a series
10 of text messages, defendant SAYADYAN arranged to sell 120 pills
11 of 30-mg oxycodone to UF-6.

12 118. On March 8, 2017, using coded language in a series of
13 text messages, defendant SAYADYAN arranged to sell 80 pills of
14 30-mg oxycodone to UF-6.

Defendant GUBERMAN

16 119. On June 17, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
17 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN told defendant MATOSYAN that
18 new "patients" needed to be instructed to notify defendant
19 GUBERMAN before bringing fraudulent prescriptions to pharmacies,
20 so that defendant GUBERMAN could prepare to falsely "verify" the
21 fraudulent prescriptions should there be a pharmacist inquiry.

22 120. On June 20, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
23 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN asked defendant MATOSYAN
24 whether defendant H. MATOSYAN could forge a doctor's signature
25 on medical paperwork regarding fraudulent prescriptions for
26 oxycodone and alprazolam to an unidentified customer, and
27 defendants GUBERMAN and MATOSYAN agreed that defendant SIMOYNAN
28 would forge the signature on the paperwork instead.

1 121. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
2 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN told defendant MATOSYAN that
3 she would call a pharmacy to falsely verify a fraudulent
4 prescription for oxycodone and alprazolam sold to a customer.

5 122. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
6 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN reported to defendant MATOSYAN
7 that a pharmacy refused to fill a customer's fraudulent
8 prescription, and defendant MATOSYAN responded that defendant
9 GUBERMAN should advise the customer to take the fraudulent
10 prescription to a different pharmacy.

11 123. On July 6, 2016, using coded language in a telephone
12 conversation, defendant GUBERMAN asked defendant MATOSYAN to
13 give her a fraudulent prescription for unspecified drugs for
14 which she could provide a false "patient" name and date of birth
15 to use in completing the prescription, which defendant MATOSYAN
16 agreed to do at his residence.

Defendant MONTENEGRO

18 124. On October 6, 2015, defendant MONTENEGRO attempted to
19 fill a fraudulent prescription for oxycodone at a pharmacy in
20 Thousand Oaks, California.

21 125. On October 21, 2015, defendant MONTENEGRO arranged for
22 a third party "patient" to fill a fraudulent prescription for
23 oxycodone at a pharmacy in Thousand Oaks, California.

24 126. On October 23, 2015, defendant MONTENEGRO arranged for
25 a third party "patient" to fill a fraudulent prescription for
26 oxycodone at a pharmacy in Moorpark, California.

27 127. On November 13, 2015, at locations including her
28 residence in West Hills, California, and her vehicle, defendant

1 MONTENEGRO possessed, among other things, approximately \$70,000
2 in cash proceeds, multiple oxycodone prescriptions to various
3 third party "patients," and patient "profiles" in the names of
4 third parties, namely, copies of driver's licenses and Medicare
5 and/or Medi-Cal cards in third party names.

6 Defendants E. GURUMDZHYAN and A. GUYUMZHYAN

7 128. On December 15, 2015, defendant E. GURUMDZHYAN
8 attempted to fill a fraudulent prescription for oxycodone at a
9 pharmacy in Simi Valley, California.

10 129. On December 18, 2015, defendants E. GURUMDZHYAN and A.
11 GUYUMZHYAN traveled to the pharmacy in Simi Valley, California,
12 in a further attempt to obtain oxycodone pills based on the
13 fraudulent oxycodone prescription that defendant E. GURUMDZHYAN
14 had attempted to fill three days earlier.

15 130. On December 21, 2015, defendant E. GURUMDZHYAN filled
16 a fraudulent oxycodone prescription in a third party name at a
17 pharmacy in Thousand Oaks, California.

18 131. On December 23, 2015, at locations including their
19 residence in Los Angeles, California, defendants E. GURUMDZHYAN
20 and A. GUYUMZHYAN possessed, among other things, multiple
21 oxycodone prescriptions in the names of various third party
22 "patients;" multiple signed oxycodone prescriptions for which
23 the patient name, date of birth, and issuing date was left
24 blank; patient "profiles" in third party names; 39 pills of
25 alprazolam bearing a label reflecting that the prescription had
26 been issued to a third party "patient;" and approximately \$6,122
27 in cash proceeds.

1 COUNT TWO

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

3 On or about January 27, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN,
5 also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," and RALPH
6 MANNING, each aiding and abetting the other, knowingly and
7 intentionally distributed oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug
8 controlled substance.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 COUNT THREE

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

3 On or about February 5, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN,
5 also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," and RALPH
6 MANNING, each aiding and abetting the other, knowingly and
7 intentionally distributed oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug
8 controlled substance.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT FOUR

[21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

On or about February 24, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," and RALPH MANNING, each aiding and abetting the other, knowingly and intentionally distributed oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

COUNT FIVE

[21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

On or about May 12, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," and RALPH MANNING, each aiding and abetting the other, knowingly and intentionally distributed oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

COUNT SIX

[21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

On or about May 18, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," and GARY HENDERSON, each aiding and abetting the other, knowingly and intentionally distributed hydrocodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

1 COUNT SEVEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about May 18, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the
4 Central District of California, defendant FREDERICK MANNING JR.,
5 also known as "Freddie," knowingly and intentionally possessed
6 with intent to distribute hydrocodone, a Schedule II narcotic
7 drug controlled substance.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT EIGHT

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

3 On or about June 17, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN,
5 also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike," RALPH
6 MANNING, and HAYK MATOSYAN, aka "Hayko," each aiding and
7 abetting the other, knowingly and intentionally distributed
8 oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 COUNT NINE

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about June 23, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendant MINAS MATOSYAN,
5 also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike" knowingly and
6 intentionally distributed oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug
7 controlled substance.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT TEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about June 23, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendant GARY HENDERSON
5 knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute
6 oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 COUNT ELEVEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about June 27, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendant ARMEN SIMONYAN,
5 also known as ("aka") "Richard Simonson," aka "Nick," knowingly
6 and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute oxycodone,
7 a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT TWELVE

[21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

On or about July 27, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant ARMEN SIMONYAN, also known as ("aka") "Richard Simonson," aka "Nick," knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

1 COUNT THIRTEEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about October 21, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendant MARISA MONTENEGRO
5 knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute
6 oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT FOURTEEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)]

3 On or about October 23, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, defendant MARISA MONTENEGRO
5 knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute
6 oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled substance.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT FIFTEEN

2 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

3 On or about December 21, 2015, in Los Angeles County,
4 within the Central District of California, defendants ELIZABETH
5 GURUMDZHYAN and ANAIT GUYUMZHYAN, each aiding and abetting the
6 other, knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to
7 distribute oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic drug controlled
8 substance.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 COUNT SIXTEEN

2 [18 U.S.C. § 371]

3 **A. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY**

4 Beginning on a date unknown, and continuing to a date not
5 earlier than August 26, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the
6 Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendants MINAS
7 MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka") "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike"
8 ("MATOSYAN"), SABRINA GUBERMAN, aka "Susie" ("GUBERMAN"),
9 FREDERICK MANNING JR., aka "Freddie" ("F. MANNING"), FRED
10 MINASSIAN ("MINASSIAN"), and FIRST NAME UNKNOWN, LAST NAME
11 UNKNOWN, aka "Cindy" ("CINDY"), and others known and unknown to
12 the Grand Jury, conspired and agreed with each other to
13 knowingly and intentionally commit one or more of the following
14 offenses:

15 1. Falsifying, concealing, and covering up by any trick,
16 scheme, and device a material fact in a matter within the
17 jurisdiction of the executive branch of the United States, in
18 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(1);

19 2. Making a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement
20 and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the
21 executive branch of the United States, in violation of Title 18,
22 United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2);

23 3. Making and using any false writing and document
24 containing any materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent
25 statement and entry in a matter within the jurisdiction of the
26 executive branch of the United States, in violation of Title 18,
27 United States Code, Section 1001(a)(3); and

1 4. Witness tampering, in violation of Title 18, United
2 States Code, Section 1512(b) (3).

3 B. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY WERE TO BE
4 ACCOMPLISHED

5 The objects of the conspiracy were to be accomplished, in
6 substance, as follows:

7 1-3. The Grand Jury hereby repeats, re-alleges, and
8 incorporates by reference paragraphs 10 through 12 of Section B
9 of Count One of this Indictment as though fully set forth
10 herein.

11 C. OVERT ACTS

12 In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the
13 objects of the conspiracy, on or about the following dates,
14 defendants MATOSYAN, GUBERMAN, F. MANNING, MINASSIAN, and CINDY,
15 and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, committed
16 various overt acts within the Central District of California,
17 and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

18 1-34. The Grand Jury hereby repeats, re-alleges, and
19 incorporates by reference paragraphs 69 through 102 of Section C
20 of Count One of this Indictment as though fully set forth
21 herein.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT SEVENTEEN
2

[18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(3); 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)]

3 On or about July 1, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the
4 Central District of California, in a matter within the
5 jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of the
6 United States, specifically, the United States Drug Enforcement
7 Administration, defendants MINAS MATOSYAN, also known as ("aka")
8 "Mike," aka "Maserati Mike" ("MATOSYAN"), SABRINA GUBERMAN, aka
9 "Susie" ("GUBERMAN"), FREDERICK MANNING JR., aka "Freddie" ("F.
10 MANNING"), FRED MINASSIAN ("MINASSIAN"), and FIRST NAME UNKNOWN,
11 LAST NAME UNKNOWN, aka "Cindy" ("CINDY"), each aiding and
12 abetting the other, knowingly and willfully made and used a
13 false writing knowing the writing to contain a materially false,
14 fictitious, and fraudulent statement and entry, in that
15 defendants MATOSYAN, GUBERMAN, F. MANNING, MINASSIAN and CINDY
16 created and arranged to create a document purporting to be from
17 doctor N.D. ("N.D."), which defendant MINASSIAN sent via fax to
18 a law enforcement officer investigating the seizure of
19 hydrocodone pills from defendant F. MANNING on May 18, 2016,
20 which letter falsely represented that, on May 10, 2016, N.D.
21 examined defendant F. MANNING and prescribed hydrocodone to
22 defendant F. MANNING, when, in truth and in fact, as defendants
23 MATOSYAN, GUBERMAN, F. MANNING, MINASSIAN and CINDY then well
24 knew, N.D. did not see defendant F. MANNING as a patient on May
25 10, 2016, and N.D. did not prescribe hydrocodone to defendant F.
26 MANNING on May 10, 2016.

27
28

1 COUNT EIGHTEEN

2 [18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2)]

3 On or about July 6, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the
4 Central District of California, in a matter within the
5 jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of the
6 United States, specifically, the United States Drug Enforcement
7 Administration, defendant FRED MINASSIAN ("MINASSIAN") knowingly
8 and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and
9 fraudulent statement and representation, in that defendant
10 MINASSIAN informed a law enforcement officer that he (defendant
11 MINASSIAN) believed that a letter that defendant MINASSIAN had
12 previously faxed to law enforcement on July 1, 2016 ("the
13 letter") accurately stated that co-defendant Frederick Manning,
14 Jr. ("F. Manning") had been treated by N.D. on May 10, 2016,
15 when, in truth and in fact, as defendant MINASSIAN then well
16 knew, the letter falsely represented that co-defendant F.
17 Manning had been treated by N.D. on that date, and, prior to
18 sending the letter, defendant MINASSIAN agreed that co-defendant
19 F. Manning and other co-conspirators would create the fraudulent
20 letter for the purpose of deceiving law enforcement.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT NINETEEN

2 [18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2)]

3 On or about August 26, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within
4 the Central District of California, in a matter within the
5 jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of the
6 United States, specifically, the United States Drug Enforcement
7 Administration, defendant FRED MINASSIAN ("MINASSIAN") knowingly
8 and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and
9 fraudulent statement and representation, in that defendant
10 MINASSIAN informed a law enforcement officer that co-defendant
11 Frederick Manning, Jr. ("F. Manning") was being honest in
12 claiming that the hydrocodone pills that law enforcement seized
13 from co-defendant F. Manning's possession on May 18, 2016 had
14 been prescribed to co-defendant F. Manning by doctor N.D. for
15 medical treatment, when, in truth and in fact, as defendant
16 MINASSIAN then well knew, co-defendant F. Manning did not
17 receive such a prescription from N.D. and was not treated by
18 N.D. prior to May 18, 2016, and defendant MINASSIAN knew that
19 co-defendant F. Manning had lied to law enforcement about how
20 co-defendant F. Manning acquired the hydrocodone.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

2 [21 U.S.C. § 853]

3 1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(a) of the Federal Rules of
4 Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United
5 States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to
6 Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, in the event of any
7 defendant's conviction under any of Counts One through Fifteen
8 of this Indictment. Each defendant so convicted shall forfeit
9 the following:

10 a. All right, title and interest in any and all
11 property, real or personal, constituting or derived from, any
12 proceeds which the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly,
13 from any such offense;

14 b. All right, title and interest in any and all
15 property, real or personal, used, or intended to be used, in any
16 manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of
17 any such offense; and

18 c. To the extent such property is not available for
19 forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the
20 property described in subparagraphs 1(a) and (b) above.

21 2. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section
22 853(p), any defendant so convicted shall forfeit substitute
23 property, if, by any act or omission of the defendant, the
24 property described in subparagraphs 1(a) or (b), or any portion
25 thereof, cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
26 has been transferred, sold to, or deposited with a third party;
27 has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; has been

1 substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with
2 other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.

3

4

5 A TRUE BILL

6

7 *151*

8

9 Foreperson

10

11

12 SANDRA R. BROWN
13 Acting United States Attorney



14 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
15 Assistant United States Attorney
16 Chief, Criminal Division

17 KEVIN M. LALLY
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19 Chief, Organized Crime Drug Enforcement
20 Task Force Section

21 BENJAMIN R. BARRON
22 Assistant United States Attorney
23 Deputy Chief, Organized Crime Drug
24 Enforcement Task Force Section

25 JAMIE A. LANG
26 Assistant United States Attorney
27 Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task
28 Force Section